

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,956	02/19/2002	Roberto Padovani	010536	9226
23696 OUALCOMM	7590 02/21/2008 INCORPORATED	•	EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHOUSE DR.	·	HO, DUC CHI		
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619	
	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com nanm@qualcomm.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
•	10/079,956	PADOVANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Duc C. Ho	2619	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communii. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- cation. bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed (2a)</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ol>	☑ This action is non-final.  allowance except for formal matte	•	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22,24-28 and 30-41</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are s 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-10,14-22,24-28 and 30-</u> 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 11-13</u> is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.  41 is/are rejected. to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	) accepted or b) objected to be noted to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand e correction is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
•	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been i I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	-948) Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

10/079,956 Art Unit: 2619

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rich (US 5,940,452).

Regarding claim 1, Rich discloses dual mode radio subscriber unit having a diversity receiver apparatus and method therefore.

a receiver, including a plurality of receiver chains adapted for processing in the receiver, for receiving a pilot channel and determining a channel condition of said pilot channel (a radio subscriber unit 702-fig.7 includes two receivers 126, and 706, or receiver chains for processing in the unit 702-fig.7, for receiving a carrier to interference ratio Ec/Io of a pilot channel, and inherently determining a response of the ratio Ec/Io of the pilot channel, see col. 22-line 15 to col. 24-line7);

a control system for controlling receive diversity of said receiver by selecting a number of said plurality of receiver chains based on said determined channel condition (a controller 108-fig.7 controls the selection the first receiver 126 and the second receiver 706-fig.7 based on the response of the interference ratio, see col. 22, lines 53-55).

Regarding claim 2, the controller 108-fig.7 in response to the ratio of Ec/Io greater than a predetermined threshold selects a number of antenna in the first selected state, in which one antenna coupling to a receiver from at least two antennas selected, see col.10, lines 1-13; fig. 6, step 606; col. 13-line 33 to col. 15-line 19.

Application/Control Number:

10/079,956 Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claim 3, the control system108-fig.7 in response to the ratio of Ec/Io below than a predetermined threshold selects a number of antenna in the third selected state, in which two antennas coupling to receivers selected, fig. 6, step 612, 616.

Regarding claim 4, this claim has similar limitations as claims 2, and 3. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 2, and 3. In Rich a condition in which the ratio of Ec/Io above a threshold is stronger than that in which the ration of Ec/Io below a threshold.

Regarding claims 7-10, these claims have similar limitations as claims 1-4, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected under Rich for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-4.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application/Control Number:

10/079,956

Art Unit: 2619

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 14-22, 24-28, and 30-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rich, in view of Willey (US 6,505,058).

Regarding claim 14, Rich discloses all claimed limitations, except determining a first data bit of the QPCH received a mobile station in accordance with processing of one or more signals produced based on the determined receive diversity.

One skill in the art would recognize the advantage of employing a single bit message of QPCH based on a determined receive diversity to wake up a mobile station so that the battery life of the mobile station can be greatly enhanced. The reason for that is the mobile station only wakes up when necessary.

Willey discloses a method for determining whether to wake up a mobile station. The mobile station receives a QPCH bit representing by "On" (corresponding to 1), "Off" (corresponding to zero), and "not certain" (corresponding to erasure). "On" also means the base station's clearly transmitted the bit. This further means that the mobile station should not in sleep mode, so that it could receive data from the base station, see col. 5, lines 56-67, and col.5-line 45 to col.6-line 7.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Rich with Willey.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide a mobile station a capability of using a single bit message of QPCH, transmitted form the base station, based on a

10/079,956 Art Unit: 2619

determined receive diversity to indicate a mode in such a way a mobile station can configure itself to sleep mode or not in accordance with the data bit to enhance its battery life.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Rich with Willey to obtain the invention as specified in claim 14.

Regarding claim 15, the system of Rich-Willey enables a mobile station in sleep mode when the determined first data bit is "Off".

Regarding claim 16, the system of Rich-Willey should indicate a mobile station not in sleep mode when the determined first data bit is "On" or "not certain".

Regarding claim 17, the system of Rich-Willey should direct its resource to decode the received information when the determined first data bit is "On" or "not certain".

Regarding claim 18, the system of Rich-Willey enables a mobile station in sleep mode when the determined second data bit is "Off".

Regarding claims 19-20, these claims have similar limitations as claims 14-15, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 14-15.

Regarding claims 21, this claim has similar limitations as claims 17-18. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 17-18.

Regarding claims 22, this claim has similar limitations as claims 14, and 16. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 14, and 16.

Regarding claim 24, this claim has similar limitations as claim 15. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 15.

10/079,956 . Art Unit: 2619

Regarding claim 25, this claim has similar limitations as claims 16-17. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 16-17.

Regarding claim 26, this claim has similar limitations as claims 16, and 18. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 16, and 18.

Regarding claims 27-28, these claims have similar limitations as claims 14-15, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 14-15, respectively.

Regarding claims 30-31, these claims have similar limitations as claims 25-26, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 25-26, respectively. The mobile station of Rich is able to direct the battery power supply to receive a receive channel in response to the result of either a one or an erasure of Willey's bit.

Regarding claim 32, this claim has similar limitations as claims 14-17. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 14-17. The mobile station of Rich is able to direct the battery power supply to receive a receive channel in response to the result of either a one or an erasure of Willey's bit.

Regarding claim 33, in Rich the controller 108-fig. 1 is capable of directing the mobile resources, i.e., battery power supply, to receive a receive channel, after the determining receive diversity at the receiver, in accordance with a receive processing of the determined receive diversity.

Regarding claim 34, this claim has similar limitations as claims 31-32. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 31-32.

Regarding claim 35, this claim has similar limitations as claim 33. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 35.

Regarding claim 36, this claim has similar limitations as claim 32. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 32.

Regarding claim 37, this claim has similar limitations as claim 17. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 17.

Regarding claim 38, if the bit of Willey is an erasure based on the condition of the pilot channel received at the receiver of Rich, a condition in which the channel condition is below the threshold, the controller 108-fig.1 of Rich directing the battery power supply and the antennas to receive a receive channel.

Regarding claim 39, this claim has similar limitations as claim 36. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 36.

Regarding claim 40, this claim has similar limitations as claim 17. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 17.

Regarding claim 41, this claim has similar limitations as claim 38. Therefore, it is rejected under Rich-Willey for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 38.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5-6, 11-13 are objected to as being independent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and if the rejected base claim has overcome the obviousness-type double patenting.

Art Unit: 2619

# Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 1-10-08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 1, Applicant argues that the instant application can restrict the amount of power consumed for signal reception (i.e., more receiver chains consume more power, etc.), however, such limitation(s) is not presented in the claim. Regarding claim 2, fig. 6-step 607 reflecting a state in which one from two antennas selected. Regarding claim 3, fig. 6-step 616 reflects a state in which two antennas selected. Regarding claim 14, the limitation "determining a number of a plurality of receiver chains of said receiver for receive diversity based on said determined channel condition" is similar to the limitation "controlling receive diversity of said receiver by selecting a number of said plurality of receiver chains based on said determined channel condition" of claim 1. In Rich, the first and second receiver 126/706-fig.6 is not antenna. Willey discloses that the invention provides a greatly enhanced battery life by waking up the mobile station when necessary, see col. 8, lines 6-9. For claim 19, please see the remarks of claim 14.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2988.

10/079,956

Art Unit: 2619

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner

Duc Ho

2-05-08